



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,216	01/26/2004	Graeme McLintock	2001P14563WOUS	2001P14563WOUS 6557	
28204 SIEMENS SCI	7590 07/11/2007 HWEIZ AG	EXAMINER			
I-47, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			TIMBLIN, ROBERT M		
ALBISRIEDERSTRASSE 245 ZURICH, CH-8047			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SWITZERLA			2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•		•	. 07/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)		pplicant(s)			
		10/763,216	N	MCLINTOCK, GRAEME			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Robert M. Timblir	. 2	167 📐			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN THE MAI	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe vill apply and will expire \$, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (r filed mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2007.						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquira	mant				
ال(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.				
Applicati	on Papers			•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the	attached Office Ad	ction or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(c	d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•				
• • •							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍	Interview Summary (P	TO_413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	· ·			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pate Other:	ent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

This office action corresponds to application 10/763,216 filed 1/26/2004

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/11/2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claim 5 has been amended and entered. Accordingly, claims 1-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the phrase "and/or" renders the claim indefinite.

Page 3

advice" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. Specifically, the phrases "and if not" in line 9 and "and if so" in

line 11 render the claim as not being clear as a result of checking for presence of

delivery points.

Claim 5 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention because "the changed position" in the second to last

line is unclear because there is no reference to changing the position prior to this

limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 1-2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Cordery et al. ('Cordery') (US 5,682,429).

Art Unit: 2167

With respect to claim 1, Cordery teaches a method for automatically generating current distribution order data with the inclusion of central address directories, which are stored in databases and are transmitted by electronic data transfer, as distribution order data, comprising the steps of:

locally copying (Abstract, line 3-5 and line 18-20 and col. 3 line12-21 and line 36-39; use of local area network) a current central address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) or parts relating to a relevant area (col. 4 line 33),

locally storing change instructions (drawing reference 710) regarding a relative positional change for delivery points (col. 4 line 62-63, col. 8 line 61-62) in the distribution order (abstract, mailing list) for a previous version (col. 8 line 62; original address) of the central address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) or of the parts

transferring the change instructions (col. 5 line 26-27, drawing reference 710, postal database of figure 1) to the local copy of the current central address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) or parts,

performing a check (drawing reference 716) so as to determine whether the change instructions have already been implemented (drawing reference 716, true) in the current address directory or whether the instructions yet to be executed (drawing reference 716, false),

storing valid change instructions (drawing reference 710) yet to be executed in an audit file (drawing reference 706 and col. 8 line 51-67 and fig. 6-7).

Art Unit: 2167

executing the change instructions (drawing reference 634 and 710).

With respect to claim 2 Cordery teaches the identification data (col. 11 line 47-48) additionally and locally incorporate house number extensions (col. 9 line 55-59).

With respect to claim 5, Cordery teaches updating the central address directory or address directory parts by transmitting only incremental changes by data transfer (figure 7A drawing reference 726 to 710).

the changes being merged (abstract line 1-3) with the previously current and copied address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) or address directory part by using the identification data (col. 11 line 47-48) for each delivery point (col. 9 line 49-51) to check in the previously current address directory (col. 8 line 62, original address) or address directory part whether the respective delivery point (col. 9 line 49-51) in the incremental change (figure 7A drawing reference 726 to 710) is already present, and if not, incorporating the respective delivery point (drawing reference 718) into the copied address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) or address directory part at the concomitantly transmitted position of the distribution order (col. 16 line 58-67), and if so, moving the respective delivery point is moved to the changed position in the address directory (col. 9 line 52 – hygiene (i.e. corrected) addresses).

Art Unit: 2167

With respect to claim 6, Cordery teaches the move of a delivery point (col. 8 line 61-62) is implemented by deleting said delivery point at the previous position of the address directory and re-entering it at the changed position (col. 9 line 52).

With respect to claim 7, Cordery teaches identifying delivery points (col. 11 line 50) according to identification data (col. 11 line 47-48), the identification data (col. 11 line 47-48) comprising at least the sorting code (col. 11 line 40-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cordery as applied to claims 1-2 and 5-7 above in view of Allen et al. ('Allen') (U.S. Patent 5,422,821).

With respect to claim 3, Cordery fails to teach wherein the identification data additionally and locally incorporates distinguishing remarks.

Allen however teaches incorporating distinguishing remarks (col. 14 line 20-25) for endorsing the need for a mailpiece to be forwarded).

Art Unit: 2167

In the same field of endeavor, (i.e. i.e. managing mailing lists), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the distinguishing remarks of Allen would have given Cordery an indication of an incorrect address for the benefit of correcting addresses in a mailing list.

With respect to claim 4, Cordery teaches the method according to claim 3, further comprising the steps of incorporating forwarding and/or distribution advice into the copied address directory by performing the following steps:

performing a check (drawing reference 712) so as to determine whether the delivery point for the respective forwarding and/or distribution advice exists (drawing reference 716) in the copied current address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110) for the distribution order data, and if so,

adding new forwarding and/or distribution advice to the copied address directory (col. 2 line 11-15, col. 4 line 32-33, drawing reference 110), , and

incorporating a complete change data (drawing reference 616) into the audit file (drawing reference 706 and col. 8 line 51-67 and fig. 6-7).

Cordery fails to expressly teach forwarding and/or distribution advice and the new forwarding and/or distribution advice having priority over the old forwarding and/or distribution advice of a same type.

Allen however, teaches forwarding and/or distribution advice (col. 2 line 46 and col. 14 line 20-31 line) for identifying incorrectly labeled items prior to shipment or

Art Unit: 2167

delivery to a customer. Furthermore, Allen teaches the new forwarding and/or distribution advice having priority over the old forwarding and/or distribution advice of a same type (col. 15 line 26-31) for updating a change of address.

In the same field of endeavor, (i.e. managing mailing lists), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because Allen would have given Cordery forwarding information in the case of an incorrectly addressed mailpieces to further include correct recipient addresses. Allen also teaches a preference in identifying incorrectly labeled mailpieces at a local post office (col. 5 line 20-25).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection and in view of a different interpretation of Cordery and the addition of the Allen reference.

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert M. Timblin whose telephone number is 571-272-

5627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John R. Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M. Timblin

Patent Examiner AU 2167

6/27/2007

Page 9